

Ink and Identity: Establishing Tattoos as Personal Archives and the Privacy Quandaries

John Louie T. Zabala and Minette R. Vinzon-Co

De La Salle University

Page | 47

This academic inquiry explores the complex intersectionality of body art, personal identity, and privacy. The main purpose is to develop theoretical frameworks that explain tattoos as unique forms of personal records. This research utilizes an interdisciplinary approach, nominating theories and concepts in anthropology, sociology, and information sciences to analyze tattoos as visual representations embodying individual stories, memories, and cultural identities. The study portrays tattoos as perpetual archival documents that have been carried out in unorthodox ways. It also explores the current challenges and implications regarding tattooed people's privacy in the digital age. As tattoos are increasingly digitized for various reasons, such as documentation on social media and biometric identification, among others, concerns arise about the attribution of this inherently personal information and its accessibility or potential misuse. Ethical issues concerning tattoo data privacy consider notions of consent, control, and the intersectionality of identity. The paper provides a theoretical basis for understanding tattoos as personal archives and contributes to discussions on safeguarding privacy rights in relation to those with tattoos amidst data-driven technologies. More so, it stresses the importance of ethical reflections and systematic archival practices to safeguard the integrity of personal archives embodied in tattoos.

Keywords: tattoo, archives, personal archives, theory generation

Introduction

The human body has long served as a canvas for personal narratives, with tattoos etching stories of lineage, valor, and even spiritual connection onto the skin. This enduring tradition finds a particularly captivating expression within the indigenous communities residing in the Cordillera region of the Philippines. Here, the artistry of Apo Whang-od, a revered Kalinga mambabatok (traditional tattoo artist), transcends mere decoration. Her intricate designs, meticulously passed down through generations, function as living archives for those who bear them. These tattoos convey deep symbolic meanings, such as status, rites of passage, and cultural identity (Pack & Sun 68; Kroupa 1266; Kisofen 330). The intricate designs etched onto the skin tell stories of lineage, valor, and spiritual connections, reflecting the interconnectedness of the individual with their community and heritage (Bulloch 166; Del Castillo 539). Through these tattoos, personal narratives are woven into the fabric of indigenous identity, preserving ancient traditions and beliefs while also adapting to contemporary influences and societal changes (Kisofen 332).

Tattoos serve as intentional and enduring symbols of the human body, with various cultural, social, and historical significances. From ancient times to modern societies, tattoos have been utilized for diverse purposes, including decorative, status marking, tribal affiliation, and even punitive measures (Nikolaevich; Cains and Byard 201). Evolutionary perspectives suggest that tattooing is a cultural and psychological behavior rooted in signaling group affiliation and biological quality through the body as a canvas, making tattoos costly honest signals (Lynn and Medeiros 174). Different techniques and styles of tattooing have evolved

over thousands of years across continents, with traditional practices like keloid tattooing in Africa and intricate Japanese designs showcasing the artistry and symbolism behind these permanent markings (Pesapane 145; van der Velden et al. 383). The resurgence of interest in tattoos in Western countries reflects a contemporary shift towards viewing tattoos as personal expressions and forms of body art.

This academic inquiry explores the complex intersectionality of body art, personal identity, and privacy. The main purpose is to develop theoretical frameworks that explain tattoos as unique forms of personal records and how libraries and archives shall deal with such peculiar data sources. The study portrays tattoos as perpetual archival documents that have been carried out in unorthodox ways. In addition, the research widens its scope to examine current challenges and implications regarding tattooed people's privacy in the digital age. As tattoos are increasingly digitized for various reasons, such as documentation on social media and biometric identification among others, concerns arise about the attribution of this inherently personal information and its accessibility or potential misuse. Ethical issues concerning tattoo data privacy take into account notions of consent, control, and the intersectionality of identity.

The present paper provides a theoretical basis for understanding tattoos as personal archives and thus makes an interesting addition to the larger discussion of digitizing private information and its ethical implications. [4] It joins critical conversations on how privacy rights can be protected in relation to those with tattoos in this fast-paced era of data-driven technologies. More so, it stresses the importance of ethical reflections and systematic archival practices to safeguard the integrity of personal archives embodied in tattoos.

Theory Formulation

This section delves into the formulation of a theory that conceptualizes tattoos as unique forms of personal archives. It further explores the implications of this theory on individual privacy in the digital age. The theory is constructed through an axiomatic approach. We establish a set of foundational axioms that are considered self-evident truths within the context of tattoos and personal archiving. Self-evident truths are sifted through existing literature to form the core theory of this paper (Chua and Uy 66). Though theory generation is most popular in the field of mathematics, theories can be developed in a way more akin to the usual mathematical practice (Krause et al. 374).

The axiomatic method of developing theories involves formulating systems based on self-evident premises to derive logical consequences and establish comprehensive frameworks. This approach is crucial in various fields, including algebraic topology (Bennett and Duntsch 128), intentional systems like education theory (Thompson 18), mathematics (Herrer & Pinder), information systems research (Cavique, et al.), and engineering design theories (Thielman & Ge 11). Axiomatic theories provide a structured foundation for reasoning, enabling the creation of consistent, complete, and reliable frameworks for analysis and prediction. By defining clear axioms and logical relationships between them, researchers can develop theories that are not only internally coherent but also applicable in diverse practical contexts. The axiomatic method ensures that theories are built on solid foundations, enhancing their explanatory power and facilitating validation in specific application scenarios. These axioms will serve as the building blocks for deriving propositions and theorems that explain the relationship between tattoos and personal information.

Axiom 1: Tattoos serve as visual representations of personal stories, memories, and cultural identities.

Tattoos may be viewed as personal archives, documenting an individual's identity, experiences, and cultural context (Sundberg and Kjellman 24). The practice of tattooing has historical significance, with records created about dating back to the nineteenth century, particularly following European exploration of Polynesia (Wright 99). The intimate process between tattooist and client can lead to tattoos becoming personalized illustrations of life stories, reflecting tragic events and personal narratives (Kierstein 43). In societies like the Igorots of the Philippine Cordilleras, where traditional archives are absent, tattoos serve as repositories of significant life events and rituals, embodying memories and narratives on the body (Calano 102).

Tattoos play a significant role in visually representing personal narratives, memories, and cultural identities. They serve as a medium for individuals to communicate and construct their sense of self through symbolic, aesthetic, and philosophical assimilation (Cernikovaite and Mitkute 64). Tattoos are considered anchors of the self giving more meaning to the body as a means of controlling the self (Alcina 993). Furthermore, tattoos can act as vernacular commemorations, embodying nostalgia and individualized spaces of identity affirmation, even commemorating controversial political figures (Asavei 571). In Bolivia, the surge in tattooing reflects a broader social change, with artists and clients incorporating Indigenous symbolism into their designs, impacting the discourse on identity and nationalism (Tornvall). The inked body becomes a canvas for expressing deep personal connections and experiences, influencing interactions with healthcare providers and potentially contributing to health disparities (Gunilla). Ultimately, tattoos serve as a form of communication, allowing individuals to share their stories, memories, and cultural affiliations with the world, shaping both personal and societal narratives (Boszorad 68).

Axiom 2: Tattoos are used for personal identification.

As indicated by various research papers, tattoos serve as a valuable tool for personal identification. The presence of tattoos on individuals can aid in recognition and identification, especially in forensic contexts (Cernikovaite and Mitkute 63; Balan 66). Additionally, advancements in technology have led to innovative approaches like storing public identification information within tattoos using QR codes, enhancing the identification process (Lee et al. 6). Furthermore, the study of tattooed individuals reveals that tattoos play a significant role in communication of personal identity through the incorporation of symbols from different cultures, reflecting aspects of symbolic, philosophical, and aesthetic assimilation (Birngruber 220). Moreover, techniques such as using infrared wavelengths have been developed to visualize tattoos, even after attempts to remove or cover them up, further emphasizing the potential of tattoos in personal identification (Clarkson and Birch 1267).

Axiom 3: Tattoos and tattoo information are personal information.

Tattoos and tattoo information can indeed be considered personal information, especially in the context of data protection and privacy concerns (Krtalic, et al. 17; Soe et al., 629). The concept of personal information within the realm of tattoos extends beyond mere visual representation to encompass embedded data like QR codes containing public identification, which necessitates authentication for access. Furthermore, the understanding of personal information, particularly in an age of datafication, is crucial for safeguarding privacy rights, emphasizing individuals' control over their information and the distinction between personal and non-personal data (Chang 23). This notion is reinforced by the legal interest in protecting citizens' personal information, highlighting the essence of information self-determination and the need to limit the identification objects to natural persons. Therefore,

tattoos and associated information can be regarded as personal data, subject to legal and ethical considerations surrounding privacy and data protection.

Signs and symbols in tattoos can serve as both personal and private information, reflecting one's identity, experiences, and status. They can be viewed as documents of an individual's life, immortalizing events and relationships on the body, essentially functioning as a living archive (Pickett 989). However, disclosing or concealing tattoo information can vary among individuals, especially young adults, who carefully manage their privacy based on perceived risks and benefits (Foulke 2018). Some may choose to reveal their tattoos to develop personal identity and relationships, while others may conceal them to protect their professional image or avoid judgment from family and friends (Sundberg and Kjellman 24). Additionally, innovative systems like the Personal data storable tattoo (PDST) introduce ways to store public identification within tattoos, ensuring controlled access through authentication processes (Foulke and Romo 41).

Issues of privacy, as highlighted in various research papers, play a crucial role in obtaining informed consent for archiving digitized tattoos. The challenges in maintaining privacy and confidentiality in biomedical research, especially concerning the identification or reidentification of individuals in health data repositories, raise legal and ethical questions about consent processes and safeguards (Caulfield 169). Furthermore, the need to protect the privacy of respondents and ensure informed consent for data linkage and passive data collection is essential in survey science to reduce errors of representation and measurement, ultimately improving data quality (Plutzer 172). Privacy concerns also extend to the transfer of information between different contexts, emphasizing the importance of purpose limitation, anonymization, and other privacy protection strategies in records management to balance privacy needs with archival functions (Henttonen 296). The 'privacy paradox' and challenges in informed decision-making regarding data sharing further underscore the significance of privacy laws like the GDPR in empowering individuals to make well-informed choices about their data (Soeder).

Axiom 4: Digitizing tattoos creates secondary archives with unique access, ownership, and preservation challenges for libraries.

The rise of digital technology is creating a new kind of personal archive: digitized tattoos. With advancements in photography, scanning, and even 3D modeling, tattoos are no longer just permanent markings on the body, but potentially part of a secondary archive. This axiom highlights libraries' unique challenges as they consider incorporating digitized tattoos into their collections.

One of the main issues surrounding this new type of archive is access. Unlike traditional library materials, digitized tattoos raise complex privacy concerns. Obtaining informed consent from individuals before including their tattoos in an archive is crucial. But even with consent, questions remain about control and ownership. Who owns the digital representation – the person who got the tattoo, the artist who created it, or the library that archives it? Additionally, digitized tattoos might become more readily accessible online, potentially impacting the original privacy intentions behind the design.

Digitizing tattoos in libraries and archives indeed presents a novel set of challenges related to access, ownership, and preservation. The process of digitization in cultural institutions, as highlighted in various research papers (Kim 1346; Corrado 181), has revolutionized knowledge exchange but also raised concerns about the longevity of digital media. Access to digital cultural data is often hindered by privacy, copyright, and technical issues, making born-digital collections inaccessible (Jaillant 422;). Moreover, the unique nature of tattoos as a form of art and personal expression adds layers of complexity to

ownership rights and preservation strategies when digitized. Collaborations across disciplines are crucial to addressing these challenges and ensuring the ethical use of technologies like Artificial Intelligence to unlock and preserve these digital archives for future generations.

Ownership further complicates things. Is a digitized tattoo considered a work of art subject to copyright by the artist, or personal information controlled by the individual? For culturally significant tattoos, ownership might be even more complex, potentially shared by the individual and their cultural community.

Preserving these digital archives presents another set of challenges. The digital format used for storing tattoos might become obsolete over time, requiring constant migration to newer formats to ensure accessibility. Preserving digital archives, such as those storing tattoos, indeed poses challenges related to format obsolescence (Jaillant 419). The longevity of digital media is crucial, as valuable data could be lost without a sustainable solution. Digital preservation involves ensuring accessibility, authenticity, and integrity of digital objects, necessitating strategies to mitigate risks. The use of digital formats has revolutionized knowledge exchange but also requires constant vigilance to prevent data loss due to evolving technologies. Collaborations across disciplines are essential to address obstacles to accessing digital archives, including those related to privacy, copyright, and technical issues (Johnston 196). To tackle the issue of format obsolescence, ongoing investigations focus on preservation strategies, particularly concerning software and hardware evolution. It is also crucial to preserve the meaning and context associated with the digitized tattoo. This includes information like the artist, the cultural significance, or the personal story behind the tattoo.

Libraries, as institutions traditionally focused on collecting and preserving information, might find themselves taking on a new role as repositories for digitized tattoos. However, this presents unique challenges in collection management, access control, and navigating the ethical considerations involved. Developing standardized practices for acquiring, archiving, and preserving digitized tattoos will be essential for libraries venturing into this new territory.

The Theory

Building on the idea that tattoos function as personal archives, we can explore the concept of digitized tattoos and the challenges they pose for libraries. The rise of digital technology allows for the creation of secondary archives of tattoos through photographs, scans, or even 3D modeling. However, incorporating these digital archives into libraries raises unique concerns. First, unlike traditional library materials, digitized tattoos involve complex privacy issues. Obtaining informed consent from individuals is crucial, but questions remain about ownership and control. Who owns the digital representation – the person with the tattoo, the artist, or the library? Additionally, digitized tattoos might become more readily accessible online, potentially impacting the original privacy intentions behind the design. These are just some of the challenges libraries face as they consider venturing into the realm of archiving digitized personal stories etched on skin.

The established axioms about tattoos as personal archives (Axioms 1 & 3) illuminate the challenges libraries face when incorporating digitized tattoos into their collections. Axiom 3 highlights tattoos and the information they contain as personal data. This directly translates to complexities around obtaining informed consent from individuals before including their digitized tattoos in an archive. Even with consent, questions regarding ownership and control remain. Who has the ultimate say over the digital representation – the person who received the tattoo, the artist who created it, or the library acting as the custodian? Furthermore, the ease of online access for digitized tattoos might conflict with the original privacy intentions behind the design. Addressing these ownership and consent concerns is crucial for developing a

framework for ethical and responsible library practices in archiving this unique form of personal expression.

Conclusion

This research has explored the fascinating concept of tattoos as personal archives. By examining them through an axiomatic lens, we developed a theory that illuminates their role in documenting personal narratives, cultural identities, and even historical events. However, the rise of digital technology presents a new challenge: the creation of secondary archives through digitized tattoos. While offering exciting possibilities for preservation and accessibility, incorporating these archives into libraries raises complex questions around access, ownership, and most importantly, privacy.

Ethical considerations regarding informed consent, control over digital representations, and the potential for unintended exposure must be addressed. Collaboration across disciplines – librarians, archivists, legal experts, and ethicists – is crucial to develop standardized practices for acquiring, archiving, and preserving these unique personal narratives etched on skin. By navigating these challenges, we can ensure the responsible integration of digitized tattoos into libraries, creating a valuable resource for future generations while safeguarding individual privacy rights in the digital age.

References

- Alcina, Michelle, "Tattoos as Personal Narrative" . University of New Orleans Theses and Dissertations. (2009):993
- Asavei, Maria Alina. "Engraving Portraits in the Skin: Vernacular Commemorative Tattoos for Ceaușescu, Tito, and Stalin." *Nationalities Papers* 51.3 (2023): 563-582.
- Bălan, Lăcrămioara. "Criminalistic human identification from scar and tattoo marks." *European Journal of Law and Public Administration* 7.1 (2020): 61-67.
- Bennett, Brandon, and Ivo Düntsch. "Axioms, algebras and topology." *Handbook of spatial logics*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 2007. 99-159.
- Birngruber, C. G., et al. "The use of tattoos to identify unknown bodies." *Rechtsmedizin* 30.4 (2020): 219-224.
- Boszorád, Martin. "The Tattooed Body as a Vehicle of the Self and Memory." *Body and Text: Cultural Transformations in New Media Environments* (2019): 67-79.
- Bulloch, Hannah. "Magic, luck, and permeable personhood in the Philippines." *Asian Anthropology* 22.3 (2023): 157-176.
- Byard, Roger W. "Tattoos: forensic considerations." *Forensic science, medicine, and pathology* 9 (2013): 534-542.
- Cains, Glenda E., and Roger W. Byard. "The forensic and cultural implications of tattooing." *Forensic pathology reviews* (2008): 197-220.
- Calano, Mark Joseph. "Archiving bodies: Kalinga batek and the im/possibility of an archive." *Thesis Eleven* 112.1 (2012): 98-112.
- Caulfield, Timothy, Blake Murdoch, and Ubaka Ogbogu. "Research, digital health information and promises of privacy: revisiting the issue of consent." *Canadian Journal of Bioethics* 3.1 (2020): 164-171.
- Cavique, Luís, et al. "Improving information system design: Using UML and axiomatic design." *Computers in Industry* 135 (2022): 103569.
- Černikovaitė, Miglė Eleonora, and Meda Mitkutė. "Expression of Identity in Tattoos of Symbols of Different Cultures." *Information & Media* 95 (2023): 53-69.
- Chang, Yan. "Definition of “Personal Information” in the Crime of Infringing on Citizens’

- Personal Information—From the Perspective of Personal Information Protection Law." *Law and Economy* 2.5 (2023): 19-26.
- Chua, Sandy Valmores, and Kristine June de la Cerna Uy. "The Psychological Anatomy of Gossip." *American Journal of Management* 14.3 (2014) : 64-69.
- Clarkson, Helen, and Wendy Birch. "Tattoos and Human Identification: Investigation into the Use of X-Ray and Infrared Radiation in the Visualization of Tattoos." *Journal of forensic sciences* 58.5 (2013): 1264-1272. Page | 53
- Corrado, Edward M. "Software preservation: An introduction to issues and challenges." *Technical Services Quarterly* 36.2 (2019): 177-189.
- Del Castillo, Fides A. "Re-Imagining the religious beliefs and cultural practices of indigenous Christian youth." *Religions* 13.6 (2022): 539.
- Foulke, Meredith Claire. "Speaking of Ink: What Motivates Young Adults to Disclose Their Tattoos." (2018).
- Foulke, Meredith, and Lynsey K. Romo. "An examination of how young adults manage verbal disclosure of their tattoo (s)." *Qualitative Research Reports in Communication* 22.1 (2021): 39-46.
- Gunilla, Törnvall. (2022). *Inking Identity*. doi: 10.4324/9781003175605-38
- Henttonen, Pekka. "Privacy as an archival problem and a solution." *Archival Science* 17.3 (2017): 285-303.
- Herrera, Ismael, and George F. Pinder. *Mathematical modeling in science and engineering: An axiomatic approach*. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- Jaillant, Lise. "How can we make born-digital and digitised archives more accessible? Identifying obstacles and solutions." *Archival Science* 22.3 (2022): 417-436.
- Johnston, Leslie. "Challenges in preservation and archiving digital materials." *Information Services & Use* 40.3 (2020): 193-199.
- Kierstein, Liz. "Personal records from my tattoo parlour: deep emotions drawn as life-long pictures on the skin's canvas." *Tattooed Skin and Health*. Vol. 48. Karger Publishers, 2015. 41-44.
- Kim, Julia. "Remote capture: digitising documentary heritage in challenging locations." (2019): 1345-1347.
- Kisofen, Arnel M. "Fatek (Tattoo): An Ethnic Bontoc Marker in the Northern Philippines." *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science* 6.7 (2022): 329-334.
- Krause, Décio, Jonas RB Arenhart, and Fernando TF Moraes. "Axiomatization and models of scientific theories." *Foundations of Science* 16 (2011): 363-382.
- Kroupa, Sebastian. "Reading beneath the Skin: Indigenous Tattooing in the Early Spanish Philippines, ca. 1520–1720." *The American Historical Review* 127.3 (2022): 1252-1287.
- Krtalić, Maja, et al. "Literacies in everyday life: Tattoo information needs." *Education for Information Preprint* (2023): 1-21.
- Lee, Jung-Eun, Anil K. Jain, and Rong Jin. "Scars, marks and tattoos (SMT): Soft biometric for suspect and victim identification." 2008 Biometrics symposium. IEEE, (2008):1-8.
- Lynn, Christopher D., and Cassandra A. Medeiros. "Tattooing commitment, quality, and football in Southeastern North America." *Evolution Education in the American South: Culture, Politics, and Resources in and around Alabama* (2017): 263-280.
- Nizov, Sergei, Nikolaevich. "Tattoo machine and self-adjusting shaft bearing of an electric motor of a tattoo machine." undefined (2018).
- Pack, Sam, and Justin Sun. "Permanent Souvenirs: Traditional Tattoos and the Search for

- Authenticity in the Northern Philippines." *Cultures of Authenticity*. Emerald Publishing Limited, 2022. 63-76.
- Pickett, Lori. "System for Providing an Informative Temporary Tattoo for a Traveler." U.S. Patent Application No. 12/912,989.
- Plutzer, Eric. "Privacy, sensitive questions, and informed consent: Their impacts on total survey error, and the future of survey research." *Public Opinion Quarterly* 83.S1 (2019): 169-184. Page | 54
- Søe, Silje Obelitz, Rikke Frank Jørgensen, and Jens-Erik Mai. "What is the 'personal' in 'personal information'?" *Ethics and Information Technology* 23 (2021): 625-633.
- Soeder, Malin Olivia. "Privacy challenges and approaches to the consent dilemma." Available at SSRN 3442612 (2019).
- Somani, Manoj. "Tattoo Unfolded the Mistaken Identity or Created." *Sch J App Med Sci* 7 (2021): 1152-1154.
- Sundberg, Kristina, and Ulrika Kjellman. "The tattoo as a document." *Journal of Documentation* 74.1 (2018): 18-35.
- Thielman, Jeff, and Ping Ge. "Applying axiomatic design theory to the evaluation and optimization of large-scale engineering systems." *Journal of Engineering Design* 17.1 (2006): 1-16.
- Thompson, Kenneth R. "Axiomatic theories of intentional systems: Methodology of theory construction." *Scientific Inquiry Journal* 7.1 (2006): 13-24.
- Van Der Velden, Eddy M., et al. "Tattooing and its medical aspects." *International journal of dermatology* 32.5 (1993): 381-384.
- Wright, Kirsten. "Recording 'a very particular Custom': tattoos and the archive." *Archival Science* 9.1 (2009): 99.